Mechanical Circulatory Support & Heart Transplant

Dr Katherine Fan

HKCC Core Cardiology Certificate Course

14th July 2019



*Heart Failure

Treatment: Stepped approach to care



Who has advanced heart failure?

- Heart failure has been termed as an "epidemic"
- Complex epidemiology due to multiple factors which interact in a complex manner to impact the prevalence and incidence of HF
- Most HF-related hospitalizations and deaths are incurred to subgroup of patients that is refractory to guideline-based medical management, a group categorized as having "advanced HF"
- This cohort constitutes an important and rapidly expanding patient population that warrants special care and management

Natural history of HF defines advanced HF



ESC definition of Advanced HF

Metra M et al. A position statement from the Study Group on Advanced Heart Failure of the Heart Failure Association of ESC. Eur J Heart Failure 2007;9(6-7): 684-694

1. NYHA Class III-IV symptoms

2. Episodes of volume overload and/or peripheral hypoperfusion

3. Objective evidence of severe cardiac dysfunction
-EF <30%
-Doppler pseudonormal or restrictive filling patern
-PCWP>16mmHG
-RAP > 12mmHG

4. Severely impaired functional capacity

-inability to exercise -6MWD <300m -Peak VO2 <12-14 ml/kg/min

5. HF hospitalizations

->1 in past 6 months

6. Above occurring despite attempts to optimize diuretics, RAAS antagonists, BB,CRT or in setting ogf intolerance to OMT

Acute Decompensated HF

- ADHF is NOT always Advanced HF
- Pt can present with NYHA class IV at their index HF presentation yet not considered advanced disease
- Respond to treatment of etiologic factors and optimization of medical therapy and improved to NYHA classes I-II

Recognizing the advanced HF patient

- No one single feature which identifies advanced HF
- Integration of clinical, imaging, hemodynamic, functional and biomarker data
- Symptoms
- Hospitalizations
- ECHO
- Hemodynamics
- Biomarkers: hyponatremia, BNP, uric acid, renal dysfunction

Heart Transplant

- Heart transplantation is the procedure by which the failing heart is replaced with another heart from a suitable donor
- Reserved for end-stage heart failure
- Estimated to have less than 1 year to live without the transplant
- Not candidate for or have not been helped by conventional medical therapy/ excluded from other surgical options because of poor condition of the heart



Adult Heart Transplants Kaplan-Meier Survival by Era (Transplants: January 1982 – June 2016)



Selection Criteria for Cardiac Transplantation

Indications for Heart Transplant

- Cardiogenic shock requiring either continuous intravenous inotropic support or MCS with an intra-aortic balloon pump or MCS
- Persistent NYHA class IV congestive heart failure symptoms refractory to maximal medical therapy (LVEF <20%; peak VO2 <10-12ml.kg⁻¹. min⁻¹)
- Intractable or severe anginal symptoms in patients with coronary artery disease not amendable to percutaneous or surgical revascularization
- Intractable life-threatening arrhythmias unresponsive to medical therapy, catheter ablation, and/or implantation of intra-cardiac defibrillator
- Congenital heart disease with severe ventricular dysfunction or which is unable to be corrected or palliated by either surgical or medical treatment
- Re-transplantation for graft dysfunction from severe allograft vasculopathy

Contra-indications to Cardiac Transplantation

- Factors considered:
- Impact long-term survival
 - Eg cancer
- Increase post-operative risks
 - Renal insufficiency
 - Active fulminant infection
 - Fixed pulmonary hypertension

- Impair patient's ability to care for themselves
 - Major psychiatric illness
 - Neurocognitive disorder
 - Recent substance abuse

Contraindications to Heart Transplant-Absolute

- Systemic illness with a life expectancy <2 years despite heart transplant, including
 - Active or recent solid organ or blood malignancy within 5 years
 - Eg leukemia, low grade neoplasms of prostate with persistently elevated PSA
 - AIDS with frequent opportunistic infections
 - Systemic lupus erythematosus, sarcoidosis or amyloidosis that has multi-system involvement and is still active
 - Irreversible renal or hepatic dysfunction in patients considered for only heart transplant
 - Significant obstructive pulmonary disease

Contraindications to Heart Transplant-Absolute

- Fixed pulmonary hypertension
 - PA systolic pressure >60mmHg
 - Mean transpulmonary gradient >15mmHg
 - Pulmonary vascular resistance >6 Wood units

Contraindications to Heart Transplant-Relative (I)

- Age >72 years in USA; >65 years in HK
- Any active infection (with exception of device related infection in VAD recipients)
- Active peptic ulcer disease
- Severe DM with end-organ damage (neuropathy, nephropathy or retinopathy)
- Severe peripheral vascular or cerebrovascular disease
 - Peripheral vascular disease not amenable to surgical or percutaneous therapy
 - Symptomatic carotid stenosis
 - Ankle brachial index <0.7
 - Uncorrected abdominal aortic aneurysm >6cm

Contraindications to Heart Transplant-Relative (II)

- Morbid obesity (body mass index >35kg/m²) or cachexia (body mass index <18kg/m²)
- Creatinine >2.5mg/dl or creatinine clearance <25 ml/min
- Bilirubin >2.5mg/dl, serum transaminases X 3x, INR >1.5 off warfarin
- Severe pulmonary dysfunction with FEV1 <40% normal
- Recent pulmonary infarction within 6-8 weeks
- Irreversible neurological or neuromuscular disease
- Active mental illness or psychosocial instability
- Drug, tobacco or alcohol abuse within 6 months

Heart Transplantation Indications ESC Guidelines for acute and chronic HF 2012

• Patients to consider:

- End-stage heart failure with severe symptoms, poor prognosis and no remaining alternative treatment options
- Motivated, well informed, and emotionally stable
- Capable of complying with the intensive treatment required post-operatively

McMurray et al.Eur Heart J 2012;33(14):1787-1847

Heart Transplantation: Contra-Indications

ESC Guidelines for acute and chronic HF 2012

- Active infection
- Severe peripheral arterial or cerebrovascular disease
- Current alcohol or drug abuse
- Treated cancer in previous 5 years
- Unhealed peptic ulcer
- Recent thrombo-embolism
- Significant renal failure (eg Cr Cl <50ml/min)
- Significant liver disease

- Systemic disease with multi-organ involvement
- Other serious co-morbidity with poor prognosis
- Emotional instability or untreated mental illness
- High fixed pulmonary vascular resistance (>4-5 Wood units and mean transpulmonary gradient >15mmHg)

McMurray et al.Eur Heart J 2012;33(14):1787-1847

Adult and Pediatric Heart Transplants Number of Transplants by Year and Location





Numbers of Heart Transplants, Heart-Liver & Heart-Lung Transplants in HK



* Total numbers of Heart Transplants: 212 (include. 2 Heart-Liver), and 4 Heart-Lung as at 30 June 2019

Heart Transplant- Future and Controversies

- Ongoing shortage of donor organs
 - Ongoing search for alternative therapies eg:
 - Artificial assist devices, Pacing therapy, New pharmacological interventions and genetic therapy
- Prevention of allograft vascular disease
- Recipient selection and listing status
 - Continue to pose medical and ethical dilemmas



Kittleson, M.M. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol HF. 2017;5(12):857-68.

Heart Transplant New Allocation

TABLE 2 Proposed New Tiers for Heart Allocation Model								
Proposed New Tiers	Corresponding Current Tiers							
1 i. VA ECMO	Status 1A							
ii. Non-dischargeable BiVAD	Status 1A or 1B							
iii. MCS with life-threatening ventricular arrhythmia	Status 1A							
2 i. Non-dischargeable LVAD	Status 1A							
ii. TAH, BiVAD, or RVAD	Status 1A or 1B							
iii. MCS with device malfunction	Status 1A							
iv. Percutaneous endovascular MCS device	Status 1A							
v. IABP	Status 1A							
vi. VT or VF	Status 1A							
3 i. Dischargeable LVAD for discretionary 30 days	Status 1A							
 Multiple inotropes or single inotrope with continuous hemodynamic monitoring 	Status 1A							
iii. MCS with hemolysis	Status 1A							
iv. MCS with pump thrombosis	Status 1A							
v. MCS with right heart failure	Status 1A							
vi. MCS with device infection	Status 1A							
vii. MCS with mucosal bleeding	Status 1A							
viii. MCS with aortic insufficiency	Status 1A							
ix-xi. VA ECMO, percutaneous endovascular circulatory support devices, or IABP after 14 days	Status 1A							
4 i. Dischargeable LVAD without discretionary 30 days	Status 1B							
ii. Inotropes without hemodynamic monitoring	Status 1B							
iii. Congenital heart disease	NA							
iv. Ischemic heart disease with intractable angina	NA							
v. Amyloidosis, hypertrophic, or restrictive cardiomyopathy	NA							
vi. Re-transplant	NA							
5 Combined organ transplants	NA							
6 All remaining candidates	Status 2							
7 Inactive/not transplantable candidates	Status 7/inactive							

Mechanical Circulatory Support "Bridge over trouble water?"



Principle thoughts for short term MCS in CS



Impella – a longer-term temporary support

- Miniature rotary pump
- Inserted retrograde across AV to provide short term ventricular support
- Very hemocompatible- minimal hemolysis
- Impella RP- tests RV tolerance
 - If RV doing well- predictive of tolerance of durable LVAD





Extracorporeal Circulatory Support Levotronix (Centrimag) VAD

- Short term support (LVAD/ RVAD/ BiVAD)
- Bridge-to decision (recovery vs definitive therapy such as transplant)

New clinical algorithms proposed earlier timing of MCS in high urgency HTx candidate





THORATEC: CENTRIMAG BLOOD PUMP

- Single-use centrifugal pump, motor and a primary drive console
- Motor magnetically levitates the impeller
- Achieving rotation with no friction
- Rotates at 1500-5500 rpm
- Flows: up to 9.9L/min





Durable Ventricular Assist Device (VAD)

- For the larger group of individuals who face a high risk of short-term mortality and little chance of receiving a transplant that the emergence of continuous flow LVAD holds the greatest promise
- Durable VADs devices are capable of augmenting the circulation to meet the body's physiological needs, both at rest and with exercise, extending survival and improving QoL



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Use of a Continuous-Flow Device in Patients Awaiting Heart Transplantation

Leslie W. Miller, M.D., Francis D. Pagani, M.D., Ph.D., Stuart D. Russell, M.D., Ranjit John, M.D., Andrew J. Boyle, M.D., Keith D. Aaronson, M.D., John V. Conte, M.D., Yoshifumi Naka, M.D., Donna Mancini, M.D., Reynolds M. Delgado, M.D., Thomas E. MacGillivray, M.D., David J. Farrar, Ph.D., and O.H. Frazier, M.D., for the HeartMate II Clinical Investigators*



NEJM 2007 FDA 2008



Terms describing various uses of mechanical circulatory support (MCS)

Terms	
Bridge to decision (BTD)	Use of MCS in patients with drug-refractory acute circulatory collapse and at immediate risk of death to sustain life until a full clinical evaluation can be completed and additional therapeutic options can be evaluated
Bridge to candidacy (BTC)	Use of MCS to improve end-organ function in order to make ineligible patient eligible for transplantation
Bridge to transplantation (BTT)	Use of MCS to keep a patient at high risk of death before transplantation alive until a donor organ becomes available
Bridge to recovery (BTR)	Use of MCS to keep patient alive until intrinsic cardiac function recovers sufficiently to remove MCS
Destination therapy	Longterm use of MCS as an alternative to transplantation in patients with end-stage heart failure ineligible for transplantation

Bridge to Transplant

- Patient approved and listed for transplant
- Patients who are unable to survive until transplantation without VAD
- Patient who might profit from VAD therapy (rehabilitation)
- Mostly INTERMACS 1,2,3

Adult Heart Transplants

% of Patients Bridged with Mechanical Circulatory Support* by Year and Device Type



Numbers of Heart Transplant & LVAD in HK



* Total numbers of LVAD: 86 as at June 2019

Heart Transplant LVAD

INTERMACS

Profile Level	Official Shorthand	NYHA Class		Modifier	
INTERMACS Level 1	"Crash and burn"	IV			
INTERMECS Level 2	"sliding fast" on inotropes	IV	Curi f	rent indications or HTx /VAD	
INTERMECS Level 3	Stable but Inotropes-dependent /Can be hospital or home	IV ish			
INTERMECS Level 4	Resting symptoms on oral therapy at home	Ambul IV		FF++ A	
INTERMECS Level 5	"Housebound", comfortable at rest, symptoms with minimal activity ADL	Ambul IV		FF++ A	
INTERMECS Level 6	"Walking wounded"- ADL possible but meaningful activity limits	IIIB		FF++ A	
INTERMECS Level 7	Advanced Class III	111		А	

FF= frequent flyer A= Arrhythmias

INTERMACS level and SURVIVAL



Implant Dates: June 23, 2006 – March 31, 2009

2016 ESC Heart Failure Guideline¹

INTERMACS stages for classifying patients with advanced heart failure

INTERMACS Level	NYHA Class	Description	Devices	1y Survival with LVAD Therapy
1. Cardiogenic shock "Crash and burn"	IV	Haemodynamic instability in spite of increasing doses of catecholamines and/or mechanical circulatory support with critical hypoperfusion of target organs (severe cardiogenic shock).	ECLS, ECMO, percutaneous support devices	52.6±5.6%
2. Progressive decline despite inotropic support "Sliding on inotropes"	IV	Intravenous inotropic support with acceptable blood pressure but rapid deterioration of renal function, nutritional state, or signs of congestion.	ECLS, ECMO, LVAD	63.1±3.1%
3. Stable but inotrope dependent "Dependent stability"	IV	Haemodynamic stability with low or intermediate doses of inotropics, but necessary due to hypotension, worsening of symptoms, or progressive renal failure.	LVAD	78.4±2.5%
4. Resting symptoms "Frequent flyer"	IV ambulatory	Temporary cessation of inotropic treatment is possible, but patient presents with frequent symptom recurrences and typically with fluid overload.	LVAD	78.7±3.0%
5. Exertion intolerant "Housebound"	Ш	Complete cessation of physical activity, stable at rest, but frequently with moderate fluid retention and some level of renal dysfunction.	LVAD	93.0±3.9%
6. Exertion limited "Walking wounded"	Ш	Minor limitation on physical activity and absence of congestion while at rest. Easily fatigued by light activity.	LVAD / Discuss LVAD as option	-
7. "Placeholder"	Ш	Patient in NYHA Class III with no current or recent unstable fluid balance.	Discuss LVAD as option	-

ECLS = extracorporeal life support; ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; INTERMACS = Interagency Registry for Mechanically Assisted Circulatory Support; LVAD = left ventricular assist device; NYHA - New York Heart Association.

Kaplan-Meier estimates with standard error of the mean for 1 year survival with LVAD therapy. Patients were censored at time of last contact, recovery or heart transplantation. Due to small numbers outcomes for INTERMACS levels 5,6,7 were combined.⁶¹⁰

1. Ponikowski, P, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J (2016) 37 (27): 2129-2200.

INTERMACS scale for classifying patients with advanced HF

NYHA Class III		Class IIIB		Class IV (Ambulatory)			Class IV (On Inotropes)					
INTERMACS Profiles	7	> 6	\rangle	5	\rangle	4	\rangle	3	\rangle	2	\rangle	1
Percent of current implants in INTERMACS	1.0%	1.4%	, 0	3.0%	1	4.6%	29	9.9%	36	5.4%	14	1.3%
	FDA Approval: Class IIIB/IV											
CURRENTLY NOT APPROVED		LIMITED ADOPTION				GROWING ACCEPTANCE						

Mancini et al. JACC 2015;65(23):2542-2555

A Fully magnetically levitated circulatory pump for advanced heart failure MOMENTUM 3

Mehra et al. NEJM 2017;376:440-450

• Primary end-point= composite of survival free of disabling stroke (modified Rankin score >3 or Survival free of reoperation to replace or remove the device at 6 mths after implant



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A Fully Magnetically Levitated Left Ventricular Assist Device — Final Report

M.R. Mehra, N. Uriel, Y. Naka, J.C. Cleveland, Jr., M. Yuzefpolskaya, C.T. Salerno, M.N. Walsh, C.A. Milano, C.B. Patel, S.W. Hutchins, J. Ransom, G.A. Ewald,
A. Itoh, N.Y. Raval, S.C. Silvestry, R. Cogswell, R. John, A. Bhimaraj, B.A. Bruckner, B.D. Lowes, J.Y. Um, V. Jeevanandam, G. Sayer, A.A. Mangi, E.J. Molina, F. Sheikh, K. Aaronson, F.D. Pagani, W.G. Cotts, A.J. Tatooles, A. Babu,
D. Chomsky, J.N. Katz, P.B. Tessmann, D. Dean, A. Krishnamoorthy, J. Chuang,
I. Topuria, P. Sood, and D.J. Goldstein, for the MOMENTUM 3 Investigators*

N Engl J Med 2019;380:1618-1627

- Pts with advanced HF to receive either centrifugal flow pump or axial flow pump irrespective of intended goal of use
- Composite primary end point:
 - Survival at 2 years free of disabling stroke or reoperation to replace or remove malfunctioning device
- Secondary end point:
 - Pump replacement at 2 years

Improved event-free survival of Stroke or re-operation

- HM III fully magnetically centrifugal flow pump was superior to HM II axial-flow pump with respect to survival free of disabling stroke or reoperation to replace or remove malfunctioning device
- CF pump associated with lower incidence of either ischemic or hemorrhagic strokes







Driveline Infections

GI Bleeding- Angiodysplasia





Considerations before Finalized MCS strategy

 Guidelines strongly recommend consideration of use of temporary MCS in patients with multi-organ failure, sepsis or on mechanical ventilation to allow successful optimization of clinical status and neurologic assessment prior to placement of *a long term MCS device*

Considerations prior to finalizing an individualized MCS strategy

- Underlying cause of cardiac dysfunction and projected time course of recovery
- Severity of pulmonary dysfunction and projected course of recovery
- Functional reserve of each ventricle
- Presence and severity of valvular pathology
- Risk of arterial access and size of vessels
- Severity of coagulopathy
- Risk of sternotomy
- Planned future surgery such as long-term VAD or transplant



Heart Team Discussions

Timing is Important: Early Referral

- Well recognized that function and outcomes on medical therapy benefit from ongoing heart failure management as offered at a transplant center
- Detailed evaluation necessary to determine eligibility for transplant is often incomplete or misleading in a patient in "critical condition"
 - Determination of "acceptability" for transplantation in a nonurgent candidate remains desirable
- All efforts should be made to foster this idea with primary care providers and community/ hospital specialists

Thank You!

